NABT – 2023 Poster Competition Rubric

We recommend that all presenters explore and use the **#betterposter** format

POSTER CONTENT AND VISUALS

| CRITERIA | Expert (4) | Proficient (3) | Emerging (2) | Novice (1) | Score |
|--|--|---|---|---|-------|
| Main Idea | A central idea or conclusion is highly evident and understandable | A central idea or conclusion is evident | A central idea or conclusion can be determined | A central idea or conclusion is not visible or stated clearly | |
| <u>Main Idea</u> | Main idea is supported with relevant data that are understandable | Main idea is supported with relevant data, but data are difficult to understand | Main idea is supported with data, but relevance of data is unclear | Main idea is not supported with data | |
| Background Articulation of Purpose or Focus | A purpose or focus of the work is highly evident | A purpose or focus of the work is evident | A purpose or focus of the work can be determined | A purpose or focus of the work is not visible or stated clearly | |
| Methods Application of Scholarly Method | Methods are highly appropriate for question or purpose | Methods are acceptable for question or purpose | Methods loosely support the question or purpose | Methods are not appropriate for question or purpose | |
| Results Presentation of Evidence | Additional data are expertly presented | Additional data are adequately presented | Additional data are partially presented | Additional data are minimally or not presented | |
| Overall Poster Design | Poster design is superior | Poster design is high quality | Poster design is acceptable | Poster design is low quality | |
| Overall Quality of Visuals | • Poster is easily readable and makes use of visual aids (graphs, tables, flow charts, photos) | Poster is readable and makes use of visual aids for most important aspects | Poster is readable but use of visual aids is limited in scope | Poster is not readable and does not include visual aids | |

COMMENTS:

NABT – 2023 Poster Competition Rubric

We recommend that all presenters explore and use the <u>#betterposter</u> format

PRESENTATION AND DELIVERY

| CRITERIA | Expert (4) | Proficient (3) | Emerging (2) | Novice (1) | Score |
|--|--|---|---|--|-------|
| Introduction Question and Hypothesis | Question and hypothesis are articulated and defended in context of the purpose | Question and hypothesis are stated clearly and context of purpose is apparent | Question and hypothesis are stated clearly, but context of purpose is not apparent | Question and hypothesis are not visible or stated clearly | |
| Introduction Scholarly Context | Comprehensively places problem or question in appropriate scholarly context | Sufficiently places problem or question in appropriate scholarly context | Partially places problem or question in scholarly context; elements are undeveloped | Scholarly context for the problem or question is not sufficiently demonstrated | |
| Methods Descriptions of Methods | All elements of methods are fully developed and articulated | Critical elements of methods are adequately developed | Critical elements of methods are partially developed | Critical elements of methods are minimally developed | |
| Results Quality of Data and Evidence | • Evidence supports a complex and nuanced analysis of the problem | • Evidence supports an adequately complex analysis of the problem | • Evidence supports a limited analysis of the problem | • Evidence supports very limited analysis of the problem | |
| <u>Discussion</u> Analysis or Interpretation | • Interpretation is explicitly linked to theoretical framework /hypothesis | • Interpretation is adequately linked to theoretical framework /hypothesis | • Interpretation is partially linked to theoretical framework /hypothesis | • Interpretation is minimally linked to theoretical framework /hypothesis | |
| <u>Discussion</u> Implications/ Impact | Implications and/or questions raised by the project are thoroughly explored | Implications and/or questions are adequately explored | Implications and/or questions are partially explored | Implications and/or questions are minimally unarticulated | |
| <u>Discussion</u> Limitations of project | Limitations are fully articulated | Limitations are adequately articulated | Limitations are partially articulated | Limitations are minimally articulated | |
| Overall Delivery | Free of technical errors | Few technical errors | Some technical errors | Many technical errors | |
| Overall Questions | Can fully address questions | Can adequately address questions | Can partially address questions | Can minimally address questions | |

COMMENTS: